

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SELF MAP SATISFYING RATIONAL TYPE CONTRACTIVE CONDITON IN CONE RECTANGULAR METRIC SPACE

A. KUMAR

Department of Mathematics
D.A.V. College, Kanpur (U.P.) India
Email: sri.abhishek66@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper we have proved a common fixed point theorem by using rational type contraction condition which extends the result of Jaleli and Bessem Samet [6].

Keywords: Cone metric space, cone rectangular metric space, Cauchy sequence and ordered Banach space.

200 AMS Subject Classification: 46E35, 47H10, 47H10.

Introduction

Huang and Zhang [5] have introduced by an ordered Banach space, and they established some fixed piont theorems for contractive type mappings in a normal cone metric space.

The idea of Branciari [3], Azam, Arshad and Beg [2] extended the notion of cone metric spaces by replacing the triangular inequality by a rectangular inequality. The aim of this paper is to extend the result of Jaleli and Bessem Samet [6] in such spaces.

Definition 1. If E be a real Banach space and a nonempty set X . Suppose that the mapping satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < d(x,y) \text{ for all } x,y \in X \\ d(x,y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y \\ d(x,y) = d(y,x) \text{ for all } x,y \in X \\ d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(y,z) \text{ for all } x,y,z \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Then distance d is called a cone metric on X and set X with cone metric d is called cone metric space (X, d) .

Definition 2. let X be a nonempty set and E be a real Banach space. Suppose the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies

$$0 \leq d(x,y), \text{ " } x,y \in X .$$

$$d(x,y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y .$$

$$d(x,y) = d(y,x) \text{ " } x,y \in X .$$

$$d(x,y) \leq d(x,w) + d(w,z) + d(z,y), \text{ " } x,y \in X$$

and for all distinct point $w,z \in X - \{x,y\}$ (rectangular property).

Then d is called a cone rectangular metric on X and (X, d) is called cone rectangular metric space.

Lemma 2.1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in cone rectangular metric space X is said to be convergent if for every $c \in E$ with $0 << c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$d(x_n, x) << c \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

Lemma 2.2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in cone rectangular metric space X is said to be Cauchy if for every $c \in E$ with $0 << c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) << c \text{ for all } n, m > n_0.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in cone rectangular metric space X is convergent then X is said to be complete cone rectangular metric space.

For $c \in E$ with $0 << c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n, m > n_0$

$d(x_n, x_m) << c$ then $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence.

A cone rectangular metric space is said to be complete cone rectangular metric space if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete cone rectangular metric space P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying contractive condition

$$d(fx, fy) \leq a \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{d(x, y)} + d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

where $a \in [0, \frac{1}{5})$. Then

f has a unique fixed point in X

for any $x \in X$, the iterative sequence $f^n x$ converges to the fixed point.

Now for $x \in X$ we have

$$d(fx, f^2x) = d(fx, ffx)$$

$$\leq a \frac{d(x, fx)d(fx, f^2x)}{d(x, fx)} + d(x, fx) + d(fx, f^2x) + d(x, fx)$$

$$\leq 2a \frac{d(fx, f^2x) + d(x, fx)}{1}$$

$$d(fx, f^2x) \leq \frac{2a}{1-2a} d(x, fx)$$

$$d(f^2x, f^3x) = d(ffx, fffx)$$

$$\mathbb{E} a \frac{d(fx, f^2x)d(f^2x, f^3x)}{d(fx, f^2x)} + d(fx, f^2x)d(f^2x, f^3x) + d(fx, f^2x)$$

$$\mathbb{E} a \frac{d(f^2x, f^3x) + d(fx, f^2x) + d(f^2x, f^3x) + d(fx, f^2x)}{d(fx, f^2x)}$$

$$d(f^2x, f^3x) \mathbb{E} \frac{2a}{1-2a} d(fx, f^2x)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{2a}{1-2a} d(x, fx)$$

Thus in general, if n is a positive integer then

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \mathbb{E} \frac{2a}{1-2a} d(x, fx)$$

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \mathbb{E} k^n d(x, fx)$$

where $k = \frac{2a}{1-a} \in [0, 1)$ we divide the proof into two case

First case. Let $f^m x = f^n x$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$. Let $m > n$. Then

$f^{m-n}(f^n x) = f^n x$ i.e. $f^p y = y$ where $p = m - n$, $y = f^n x$. Now since $p > 1$ we have

$$d(y, fy) = d(f^p y, f^{p+1} y)$$

$$d(y, fy) \mathbb{E} k^p d(y, fy)$$

Since $k \in [0, 1)$ we obtain - $d(y, fy) \in P$ and $d(y, fy) \in P$ which implies that $\|d(y, fy)\| = 0$ i.e. $fy = y$.

Second case. Assume that $f^m x \neq f^n x$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$. Clearly, we have

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \mathbb{E} k^n d(x, fx)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x, fx)$$

and

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+2} x) \leq a \{ (f^{n-1} x, f^n x) + d(f^{n+1} x, f^{n+2} x) \}$$

$$\leq a (k^{n-1} d(x, fx) + k^{n+1} d(x, fx))$$

$$\leq k^n d(x, fx) + k^{n+1} d(x, fx)$$

$$\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x, fx)$$

Now if $m > 2$ is odd then writing $m = 2l + 1, l \geq 1$ and using the fact that $f^p x \leq f^r x$ for $p, r \in \mathbb{N}, p \leq r$ we can easily show that

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+m} x) \leq d(f^{n-1} x, f^n x) + d(f^{n+1} x, f^{n+2} x) + \dots + d(f^{n+2l-1} x, f^{n+2l} x)$$

$$\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x, fx)$$

Again if $m \geq 2$ is even then writing $m = 2l \geq 2$ and using the same arguments as before we can get,

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+m} x) \leq d(f^{n+1} x, f^{n+2} x) + d(f^{n+2} x, f^{n+3} x) + \dots + d(f^{n+2l-1} x, f^{n+2l} x)$$

$$\leq k^n d(x, fx) + k^{n+1} d(x, fx) + \dots + k^{n+2l} d(x, fx)$$

$$\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x, fx)$$

Thus combining all the cases we have

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+m} x) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x, fx), \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Hence we get

$$\|d(f^n x, f^{n+m} x)\| \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} \|d(x, fx)\|, \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since $K \frac{k^n}{1-k} \|d(x, fx)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\{f^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of X there is $x \in X$ such that

$$f^n x \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

We shall now show that $fx^* = x^*$ without any loss of generality, we can assume that

$$fx^{*+1} = x^*, fx^* \text{ for any } r \in \mathbb{N} \text{ we have}$$

$$d(x^*, fx^*) \leq d(x^*, f^{n+1}x) + d(f^{n+1}x, fx^*)$$

$$\leq d(x^*, f^n x) + d(f^n x, f^{n+1}x) + a \{d(f^n x, f^{n+1}x) + d(x^*, fx^*)\}$$

$$d(x^*, fx^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-a} \{d(x^*, f^n x) + (1+a)d(f^n x, f^{n-1}x)\}$$

Hence

$$\|d(x^*, fx^*)\| \leq \frac{K}{1-a} \{ \|d(x^*, f^n x)\| + (1+a) \|d(f^n x, f^{n+1}x)\| \} \leq 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$

So we obtain $d(fx^*, x^*) = 0$ i.e. $x^* = fx^*$

Now if y^* is another fixed point of f , then

$$d(x^*, y^*) = d(fx^*, fy^*) \leq a \{d(x^*, fx^*) + d(y^*, fy^*)\} = 0$$

which implies that $\|d(x^*, y^*)\| = 0$ i.e. $x^* = y^*$.

References

- [1] **Abbas M. and Jungck G.:** Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric space. J. Math. Anal. Appl.; 341, 416-420, (2008).
- [2] **Azam Akbar, Muhammad Arshad and Ismat Beg:** Banach contraction principle on cone rectangular metric spaces. Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 3, 236-241, (2009).
- [3] **Branciari A.:** A fixed point theorem of Banach Cacciopoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces. Publ. Debreen, 57, 1-2 (31-37), (2000).
- [4] **Dejan Ilic and Vladimir Rakocevic:** Common fixed point for maps on cone metric space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341, 876-882, (2008).
- [5] **Huang Long-Guang and Zhang Xian:** Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332, 1468-76, (2007).
- [6] **Jaleli Mohamed and Samet Bessem:** The Kannan's fixed point theorem in a cone rectangular metric space. The journal of nonlinear sciences and applications 2, 161-167, (2009).